UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
USA,	Case No. 20-cr-00321-EMC-1
Plaintiffs,	
v.	VERDICT FORM
DALE BEHM,	
Defendants.	
	_
COUNT ONE: 18 U.S.C. § 286 (Cons	spiracy to Defraud by Filing False Claims)
We, the jury, in the above-entitled case	, unanimously find the defendants listed below:
	UILTY NOT GUILTY
DALE BEHM	
JOSHUA CLARK	
of Conspiracy to Defraud U.S Border and Cust	oms Protection with Respect to Claims, in violation
of 18 U.S.C. § 286.	
COUNT TWO: 18 U.S.C. §§ 287 and	2 (False, Fictitious, or Fraudulent Claims)
We, the jury, in the above-entitled case	, unanimously find the defendants listed below:
G	UILTY NOT GUILTY
DALE BEHM	
JOSHUA CLARK	
CP-1 Pi-4'4' Possidal and Claims in air	olotion of 19 II S.C. SS 297 and 2 specifically a

of False, Fictitious, or Fraudulent Claims in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 287 and 2, specifically, a November 2, 2015, drawback claim in the amount of \$655,850.13, Claim No. APN/11502704.

1	·		
2	COUNT THREE: 18 U.S.C.	. §§ 287 and 2 (False, F	ictitious, or Fraudulent Claims)
3	We, the jury, in the above-ent	titled case, unanimously	find the defendants listed below:
4		GUILTY	NOT GUILTY
5	DALE BEHM		
6	JOSHUA CLARK		
7	of False, Fictitious, or Fraudulent Cla	aims in violation of 18 U	J.S.C. §§ 287 and 2, specifically, an
8	April 13, 2016, drawback claim in th	e amount of \$349,031.4	1, Claim No. APN/11603106.
9			
10	COUNT FOUR: 18 U.S.C. §	§§ 287 and 2 (False, Fi	ctitious, or Fraudulent Claims)
11	We, the jury, in the above-ent	titled case, unanimously	find the defendants listed below:
12		GUILTY	NOT GUILTY
13 .	DALE BEHM		
14	JOSHUA CLARK		
15	of False, Fictitious, or Fraudulent Cla	aims in violation of 18 U	J.S.C. §§ 287 and 2, specifically, an
.16	April 29, 2016, drawback claim in th	e amount of \$216,340.7	3, Claim No. APN/11603155.
17			
18	COUNT FIVE: 18 U.S.C. §§	§ 287 and 2 (False, Fict	titious, or Fraudulent Claims)
19	We, the jury, in the above-ent	titled case, unanimously	find the defendants listed below:
20		GUILTY	NOT GUILTY
21	DALE BEHM		
22	JOSHUA CLARK	<u> </u>	
23	of False, Fictitious, or Fraudulent Cla	aims in violation of 18 U	J.S.C. §§ 287 and 2, specifically, a
24	June 3, 2016, drawback claim in the	amount of \$330,776.23,	Claim No. APN/31603247.
25	///		
26	<i>III</i>		
27	<i>III</i>		
28	///		

1	COUNT EIGHT: 18 U.S.C	. §§ 287 and 2 (False, F	ictitious, or Fraudulent Claims)
2	We, the jury, in the above-en	ntitled case, unanimously	find the defendants listed below:
3		GUILTY	NOT GUILTY
4	DALE BEHM		
5	JOSHUA CLARK		
6	of False, Fictitious, or Fraudulent Cl	laims in violation of 18 U	J.S.C. $\S\S$ 287 and 2, specifically, an
7	October 18, 2016, drawback claim is	n the amount of \$385,03	8.78, Claim No. APN/31620858.
8			
9	COUNT NINE: 18 U.S.C. §	\S 287 and 2 (False, Fic	titious, or Fraudulent Claims)
10	We, the jury, in the above-er	ntitled case, unanimously	find the defendants listed below:
11	•	GUILTY	NOT GUILTY
12	DALE BEHM		
13	JOSHUA CLARK		
14	of False, Fictitious, or Fraudulent C	laims in violation of 18 U	J.S.C. §§ 287 and 2, specifically, a
15	December 5, 2016, drawback claim	in the amount of \$156,03	59.30, Claim No. APN/31620916.
16			
17	COUNT ELEVEN: 18 U.S.	.C. §§ 287 and 2 (False,	Fictitious, or Fraudulent Claims)
18	We, the jury, in the above-er	ntitled case, unanimously	find the defendants listed below:
19		GUILTY	NOT GUILTY
20	DALE BEHM		
21	JOSHUA CLARK		$\overline{}$
22	of False, Fictitious, or Fraudulent C	laims in violation of 18 U	J.S.C. §§ 287 and 2, specifically, a
23	January 20, 2017, drawback claim in	n the amount of \$55,664.	80, Claim No. APN/31721110.
24	///		,
25	///		
26	·		
27	///		
20	II ///		

1	COUNT THIRTEEN: 18 U.S.	.C. §§ 287 and 2 (Fal	se, Fictitious, or Fraudulent
2	Claims)		
3	We, the jury, in the above-entit	led case, unanimously	find the defendants listed below:
4		GUILTY	NOT GUILTY
5	DALE BEHM	· ·	
6	JOSHUA CLARK		
7	of False, Fictitious, or Fraudulent Clair	ns in violation of 18 U	J.S.C. §§ 287 and 2, specifically, a
8	May 10, 2017, drawback claim in the a	mount of \$243,408.49	, Claim No. APN/31721441.
9			
10	COUNT FOURTEEN: 18 U.S	S.C. §§ 287 and 2 (Fa	lse, Fictitious, or Fraudulent
11	Claims)		
12	We, the jury, in the above-entit	led case, unanimously	find the defendants listed below:
13		GUILTY	NOT GUILTY
14	DALE BEHM		
15	JOSHUA CLARK		
16	of False, Fictitious, or Fraudulent Clair	ms in violation of 18 U	J.S.C. §§ 287 and 2, specifically, a
17	July 7, 2017, drawback claim in the an	nount of \$192,877.88,	Claim No. APN/31721524.
18			
19	COUNT FIFTEEN: 18 U.S.C	. §§ 287 and 2 (False	, Fictitious, or Fraudulent Claims)
20	We, the jury, in the above-entit	led case, unanimously	find the defendants listed below:
21		GUILTY	NOT GUILTY
22	DALE BEHM		
23	JOSHUA CLARK		
24	of False, Fictitious, or Fraudulent Clair	ms in violation of 18 U	J.S.C. §§ 287 and 2, specifically, a
25	June 16, 2017, drawback claim in the a	amount of \$226,194.59	9, Claim No. APN/31721565.
26	///		
27	///	•	
28	///		

1	COUNT SIXTEEN: 18 U.S.	C. §§ 287 and 2 (False	, Fictitious, or Fraudulent Claims
2	We, the jury, in the above-ent	itled case, unanimously	find the defendants listed below:
3	·	GUILTY	NOT GUILTY
4	DALE BEHM		
5	JOSHUA CLARK		$\overline{}$
6	of False, Fictitious, or Fraudulent Cla	ims in violation of 18 U	J.S.C. §§ 287 and 2, specifically, a
7	July 6, 2017, drawback claim in the a	mount of \$93,016.62, 0	Claim No. APN/31721573.
8			
9	COUNT SEVENTEEN: 18 U	U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2 (Wire Fraud)
10	We, the jury, in the above-enti	itled case, unanimously	find the defendants listed below:
11	ı	GUILTY	NOT GUILTY
12	DALE BEHM		
13	JOSHUA CLARK		
14	of Wire Fraud in violation of 18 U.S.	C. §§ 1343 and 2, speci	fically, an email dated August 19,
15	2015 between Behm and Stanka, subj	ect "PRT-TPP drawbac	k joint project."
16			
17	COUNT TWENTY-ONE: 18	8 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2	2 (Wire Fraud)
18	We, the jury, in the above-enti	itled case, unanimously	find the defendants listed below:
19		GUILTY	NOT GUILTY
20	DALE BEHM		
21	JOSHUA CLARK		
22	of Wire Fraud in violation of 18 U.S.	C. §§ 1343 and 2, speci	fically, an email dated February 29,
23	2016 from Choy to Stanka, subject "E	3Ls 2/29."	,
24	<i>III</i>		
25	<i>///</i>		
26	<i> </i>		
27	<i> </i>		
28	///		

28

1	COUNT TWENTY-TWO: 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2 (Wire Fraud)		
2	We, the jury, in the above-entitled case, unanimously find the defendants listed below:		
3	GUILTY NOT GUILTY		
4	DALE BEHM		
5	JOSHUA CLARK		
6	of Wire Fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2, specifically, an email dated March 2, 2016		
7	from an employee of Pacific Rim to drawback claim preparer, Behm, and employee of TPP		
8	Export, subject "Engineering Resin FW: Drawback Documents."		
9			
10	COUNT TWENTY-THREE: 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2 (Wire Fraud)		
11	We, the jury, in the above-entitled case, unanimously find the defendants listed below:		
12	GUILTY NOT GUILTY		
13	DALE BEHM		
14	JOSHUA CLARK		
15	of Wire Fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2, specifically, an email dated April 1, 2016		
16	from an employee of TPP Export to Clark and an employee of Pacific Rim, subject "New claim		
17	docs."		
18			
19	COUNT TWENTY-FOUR: 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2 (Wire Fraud)		
20	We, the jury, in the above-entitled case, unanimously find the defendants listed below:		
21	GÙILTY NOT GUILTY		
22	DALE BEHM		
23	JOSHUA CLARK		
24	of Wire Fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2, specifically, an email dated April 1, 2016		
25	from an employee of Pacific Rim to Behm, the drawback claim preparer, and an employee of TPF		
26	Export, subject "New Claim Docs - Engineering Resins."		
27			

1	COUNT I WENTY-FIVE:	18 U.S.C. 99 1343 and .	2 (Wire Fraud)
2	We, the jury, in the above-en	titled case, unanimously	find the defendants listed below:
3		GUILTY	NOT GUILTY
4	DALE BEHM		
5	JOSHUA CLARK		
6	of Wire Fraud in violation of 18 U.S	.C. §§ 1343 and 2, speci	fically, an email dated June 14, 2016
7	between Choy and Clark, subject "C	hange BL."	•
8	`		
9	COUNT TWENTY-SIX: 18	8 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2	(Wire Fraud)
10	We, the jury, in the above-en	titled case, unanimously	find the defendants listed below:
11		GUILTY	NOT GUILTY
12	DALE BEHM		
13	JOSHUA CLARK		
14	of Wire Fraud in violation of 18 U.S	.C. §§ 1343 and 2, speci	fically, an email dated October 4,
15	2016 between Stanka, Clark, and Be	hm, subject "RE: Contra	ct and Forecast."
16			
17	COUNT TWENTY-SEVER	N: 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 an	d 2 (Wire Fraud)
18	We, the jury, in the above-en	titled case, unanimously	find the defendants listed below:
19		GUILTY	NOT GUILTY
20	DALE BEHM		/
21	JOSHUA CLARK		
22	of Wire Fraud in violation of 18 U.S	.C. §§ 1343 and 2, speci	fically, an email dated March 14,
23	2017 between Stanka, Clark, and Be	hm, subject "RE: ML Tr	rading issue."
24	///		
25	<i> </i>	•	
26	<i>III</i>		
27	<i> </i>		
28	///		

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	COUNT TWENTY-EIGHT: 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2 (Wire Fraud)		
2	We, the jury, in the above-entitled case, unanimously find the defendants listed below:		
3	GUILTY NOT GUILTY		
4	DALE BEHM		
5	JOSHUA CLARK		
6	of Wire Fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2, specifically, an email dated March 15,		
7	2017 involving Stanka, Behm, and Liang, subject "RE: Korea Containers @ Port."		
8			
9	COUNT THIRTY-FIVE: 18 U.S.C. §§ 1957 and 2 (Engaging in Monetary		
10	Transactions in Property Derived from Specified Unlawful Activity)		
11	We, the jury, in the above-entitled case, unanimously find the defendant listed below:		
12	GUILTY NOT GUILTY		
13	JOSHUA CLARK		
14	of Engaging in Monetary Transactions in Property Derived from Specified Unlawful Activity,		
15	specifically, a \$90,000 funds transfer dated July 26, 2017.		
16			
17	COUNT FORTY-ONE: 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(a)(2)(A) and 2 (Laundering of Monetary		
18	Instruments)		
19	We, the jury, in the above-entitled case, unanimously find the defendant listed below:		
20	GUILTY NOT GUILTY		
21	JOSHUA CLARK		

Dated: 11/01/2023

JURY COREPERSON

8

of Laundering of Monetary Instruments, specifically, a \$20,000 wire transfer dated July 10, 2018.